PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 1 2 OCT 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORTED

PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P24727	FOR FURTHER See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary ACTION Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).							
International Application No.	International Filing Date (day/month/year)		Priority Date (day/month/year)					
PCT/SG2002/000259	6 November 2002		6 November 2002					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC							
Int. Cl. ⁷ G06T 7/00, 7/20								
Applicant AGENCY FOR SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY & RESEARCH								
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3			•					
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).								
These annexes consist of a total	of sheet(s).							
3. This report contains indications relating	g to the following items:							
I X Basis of the report								
II Priority	Priority							
III Non-establishment of or	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
· IV Lack of unity of invention	ack of unity of invention							
V X Reasoned statement und citations and explanation	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
VI Certain documents cited	Certain documents cited							
VII Certain defects in the in	iternational application							
VIII Certain observations on	Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of the report								
1 June 2004		1 October 2004	-					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU	·	Authorized Officer						
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRA E-mail address: pct@ipaustalia.gov.au	1	S KAUL						
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02) 6283 2182						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SG2002/000259

I.	Basis of the report					
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*					
	X the international application as originally filed.					
	the description, pages, as originally filed,					
	pages, filed with the demand,					
	pages, received on with the letter of					
	the claims, pages, as originally filed,					
	pages , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19,					
	pages, filed with the demand,					
	pages, received on with the letter of					
	the drawings, pages, as originally filed,					
	pages, filed with the demand,					
	pages, received on with the letter of					
	the sequence listing part of the description:					
	pages , as originally filed					
	pages, filed with the demand					
	pages, received on with the letter of					
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:					
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).					
	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).					
3.	Vith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:					
	contained in the international application in written form.					
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished					
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	the description, pages					
	the claims, Nos.					
	the drawings, sheets/fig.					
5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**					
*	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).					
**	Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/SG2002/000259

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-15	,	YES	
		Claims		NO .	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-15		YES	
		Claims		NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-15		YES	
		Ċlaims .		NO	

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

CLAIMS 1-15

None of the citations in the search report, individually or in combination, disclose the features of the claims. Furthermore, none of the distinguishing features over prior art would either be obvious to a person skilled in the art or would merely amount to adding common general knowledge. The claims are, therefore, novel and inventive.